10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAMARCUS V. ARMSTRONG,

Petitioner,

v.

JOE A. LIZARRAGA, Warden, Respondent.

Case No. <u>18-cv-04128-KAW</u> (PR)

ORDER OF TRANSFER

Petitioner, a state prisoner incarcerated at Mule Creek State Prison, has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging the validity of his conviction obtained in the Solano County Superior Court.

A federal petition for a writ of habeas corpus made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a state court is properly filed in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). The district court where the petition is filed may transfer the petition to the proper district in the furtherance of justice. *Id.* Federal courts in California traditionally have chosen to hear petitions challenging a conviction or sentence in the district of conviction. See Dannenberg v. Ingle, 831 F. Supp. 767, 767 (N.D. Cal. 1993).

Petitioner was convicted in the Solano County Superior Court, which lies within the venue of the Eastern District of California. 28 U.S.C. § 84(b). Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) and Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b), and in the interest of justice, the Clerk of the Court shall terminate any pending motions on this Court's docket and TRANSFER this action to the United

United States District Court Northern District of California

States Distr	rict Court for th	ne Eastern Di	istrict of Ca	ılifornia.

Dated: July 18, 2018

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Kandis Westmore

KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge